

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/406,910	6,910 09/24/1999		DAVID SCOTT HAYES	RIC-98-054	2067		
25537	7590	05/26/2005		EXAM	· EXAMINER		
MCI, INC			ZIA, S	ZIA, SYED			
		V DEPARTMENT NW, 10TH FLOOR	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
WASHINGTON, DC 20036			2131				

DATE MAILED: 05/26/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

0	\mathcal{I}	
Z	+	1

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/406,910	HAYES, DAVID SCOTT	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Syed Zia	2131	

Before the rining of all Appear Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Syed Zia	2131	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 10 May 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP			
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: 	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th		er is later. In no
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b)	ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	•	OWT NIHTIW C
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be a control of the Notice of Appeal has been filed. 	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.
AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			because
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be 	ow);	·	the issues for
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)) 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		amaliant Amandmant	(DTOL 224)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		ompiiani Amenomeni	(PTOL-324).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 		, timely filed amendm	nent canceling
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>-none</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>-none-</u> .		ill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-17</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the control		•	
 The request for reconsideration has been considered by <u>See Attachment.</u> 	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	ince because:
12. Note the attached information Disclosure Statement(s).13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	

Attachment to Advisory Action

1. This office action is in response to after-final amendment and request for reconsideration filed on May 10, 2005. Original application contained Claims 1-16. Applicant previously added a new Claim 17. Applicant previously amended Claims 1, 4, and 14-17. Applicant currently amended Claims 1, and 13-17. The amendment filed on May 10, 2005 have been entered and made of record. Presently pending claims are 1-17.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on May 10, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive because of the following reasons:

Regarding Claims 1,13,15, and 17 applicants argued that the cited prior art (CPA) [Matyas et al. U.S. Patent No. 5,200,999] at least does not teach, " a first digital signature of the first public key based on a private key from the certificate authority, a second digital signature of the second public key based on the first private key," and also does not disclose that "a digital signature of short public key based on long private key longer than short private key, and disposable key".

This is not found persuasive. Cited prior art teaches a method of managing public key cryptographic system in data processing system using as system master key private and public key pair of commutative asymmetric cryptographic algorithm that includes *two pairs*, each

Application/Control Number: 09/406,910

Art Unit: 2131

containing a public key and a private key in the data processing system, for use with respective public key algorithm. A private control vector is then assigned for the private keys for defining permitted uses for the two keys. A private key record is formed which includes the both second private keys, and the private key record is encrypted under a first master key expression which is a function of the private control vector. A private key token is formed which includes the private control vector and the private key record, and the private key token is stored in the data processing system.

Thus, the system provides a method allowing new and expanded key usage to be added to architecture, and single consistent method for handling both public and private keys. Users can port their public and private keys from one cryptographic system to another.

As a result, the system and method of cited prior art does implement and teaches a system and method of data authentication utilizing a combination of public key cryptography and digital signatures

Applicants clearly have failed to <u>explicitly identify specific</u> claim limitations, which would define a patentable distinction over prior arts.

The examiner is not trying to teach the invention but is merely trying to interpret the claim language in its broadest and reasonable meaning. The examiner will not interpret to read narrowly the claim language to read exactly from the specification, but will interpret the claim language in the broadest reasonable interpretation in view of the specification. Therefore, the examiner asserts that cited prior art does teach or suggest the subject matter broadly recited in independent Claims 1, 13-17 and in subsequent dependent Claims 2-12. Accordingly, rejections for claims 1-17 are respectfully maintained.

Application/Control Number: 09/406,910

Art Unit: 2131

Page 4

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Syed Zia whose telephone number is 571-272-3798. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh can be reached on 571-272-3795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

sz May 15, 2005 PERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TOUNDLOGY CENTER 2100